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Abstract—Camera shake or target movement often leads to undesired blur effects in videos captured by a handheld camera. Despite significant efforts having been devoted to video-deblur research, two major challenges remain: 1) how to model the spatio-temporal characteristics across both the spatial domain (i.e., image plane) and the temporal domain (i.e., neighboring frames) and 2) how to restore sharp image details with respect to the conventionally adopted metric of pixel-wise errors. In this paper, to address the first challenge, we propose a deblurring network (DBLRNet) for spatial-temporal learning by applying a 3D convolution to both the spatial and temporal domains. Our DBLRNet is able to capture jointly spatial and temporal information encoded in neighboring frames, which directly contributes to the improved video deblur performance. To tackle the second challenge, we leverage the developed DBLRNet as a generator in the generative adversarial network (GAN) architecture and employ a content loss in addition to an adversarial loss for efficient adversarial training. The developed network, which we name as deblurring GAN, is tested on two standard benchmarks and achieves the state-of-the-art performance.

Index Terms—Spatio-temporal learning, adversarial learning, video deblurring.

I. INTRODUCTION

VIDEOS captured by handheld cameras often suffer from unwanted blurs either caused by camera shake [1], or object movement in the scene [2], [3]. The task of video deblurring aims at removing those undesired blurs and recovering sharp frames from the input video. This is an active research topic in the applied fields of computer vision and image processing. Applications of video deblurring are found in many important fields such as 3D reconstruction [4], SLAM [5] and tracking [6].

In contrast to single image deblurring, video deblurring is a relatively less tapped task until recently. And video deblurring is more challenging, partly because it is not entirely clear about how to model and exploit the inherent temporal dynamics exhibited among continuous video frames. Moreover, the commonly adopted performance metric, namely, pixel-wise residual error, often measured by PSNR, is questionable, as it fails to capture human visual intuitions of how sharp or how realistic a restored image is [7], [8]. In this paper, we plan to leverage the recent advance of the adversarial learning technique to improve the performance of video deblurring.

One key challenge for video deblurring is to find an effective way to capture spatio-temporal information existing in neighboring image frames. Deep learning based methods have recently witnessed a remarkable success in many applications including image and video denoising and deblurring. Previous deep learning methods are however primarily based on 2D convolutions, mainly for computational sake. Yet, it is not natural to use 2D convolutions to capture spatial and temporal joint information, which is essentially in a 3D feature space. In this paper, we propose a deep neural network called DeBLuRing Network (DBLRNet), which uses 3D (volumetric) convolutional layers, as well as deep residual learning, aims to learn feature representations both across temporal frames and across image plane.

As noted above, we argue that the conventional pixel-wise PSNR metric is insufficient for the task of image/video deblurring. To address this issue, we resort to adversarial learning, and propose DeBLuRing Generative Adversarial Network (DBLRGAN). DBLRGAN consists of a generative network and a discriminate network, where the generative network is the aforementioned DBLRNet which restores sharp images, and the discriminate network is a binary classification network, which tells a restored image apart from a real-world sharp image.

We introduce a training loss which consists of two terms: content loss and adversarial loss. The content loss is used to respect the pixel-wise measurement, while the adversarial loss promotes a more realistically looking (hence sharper) image. Training DBLRGAN in an end-to-end manner, we recover sharp video frames from a blurred input video sequence, with some examples shown in Figure 1.

The contributions of this work are as follows:

- We propose a model called DBLRNet, which applies 3D convolutions in a deep residual network to capture joint spatio-temporal features for video deblurring.
- Based on the above DBLRNet, we develop a generative adversarial network, called DBLRGAN, with both content and adversarial losses. By training it in an adversarial
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manner, the DBLRGAN recovers video frames which look more realistic.

- Experiments on two standard benchmark datasets, including the VideoDeblurring dataset and the Blurred KITTI dataset, show that the proposed network DBLRNet and DBLRGAN are effective and outperform existing methods.

II. RELATED WORK

Many approaches have been proposed for image/video deblurring, which can be roughly classified into two categories: geometry-based methods and deep learning methods.

A. Geometry-Based Methods

Modern single-image deblurring methods iteratively estimate uniform or non-uniform blur kernels and the latent sharp image given a single blurry image [9]–[20]. However, it is difficult for single image based methods to estimate kernel because blur is spatially varying in real world. To employ additional information, multi-image based methods [21]–[27] have been proposed to address blur, such as flash/no-flash image pairs [23], blurred/noise image pairs [22] and gyroscope information [24]. In order to accurately estimate kernels, some methods also use optical flow [28] and temporal information [29]. However, most of these methods are limited by the performance of an assumed degradation model and its estimation, thus some of them are fragile and cannot handle more challenging cases.

Some researchers attempt to use aggregation methods to alleviate blur. Law et al. [30] propose a lucky image system, which constructs a final image based on the best pixels from different low quality images. Cho et al. [31] use patch-based synthesis to restore blurry regions and ensure that the deblurred frames are spatially and temporally coherent. Motivated from the physiological fact, an efficient Fourier aggregation method is proposed in [32], which creates a consistently registered version of neighboring frames, and then fuses these frames in the Fourier domain.

More recently, Pan et al. [33] propose to simultaneously deblur stereo videos and estimate the scene flow. In this method, motion cues from scene flow estimation, and blur information can complement each other and boost the performance. However, this kind of approaches is restricted to stereo cameras.

B. Deep Learning Methods

Deep learning has shown its effectiveness in many computer vision tasks, such as object detection [34], image classification [35]–[38], facial processing [2], [39]–[42] and multimedia analysis [43]–[45]. There are also several deep learning methods that achieve encouraging results on deblurring [2], [46]–[51]. A non-bind deblurring method with deep Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) is proposed in [46]. This data-driven approach establishes connection between traditional optimization-based schemes and empirically-determined CNN. Sun et al. [2] predict the probabilistic distribution of motion kernels at the patch level using CNN, then use a Markov random field model to fuse the estimations into a dense field of motion kernels. Finally, a non-uniform deblurring model using patch-level prior is employed to remove motion blur. In [47], a deep multi-scale CNN is proposed for image deblurring.
Most of these methods aim at image deblurring, so they do not need to consider temporal information implied in videos.

For video deblurring, the method closest to our approach is DBN [48], which proposes a CNN model to process information across frames. Neighboring frames are stacked along RGB channels and then fed into the proposed model to recover the central frame of them. This method considers multiple frames together and thus achieves comparable performance with state-of-the-art methods.

However, this method employs 2D convolutions, which do not operate in the time axis (corresponding to temporal information). By doing so, temporal information is transformed into spatial information in their setting, thus limited temporal information is preserved. Meanwhile, this method (as most of the existing methods) trains the model to maximize the pixel realism, which cannot ensure that the recovered images look realistic sharp. Our proposed method, on the contrary, learns spatio-temporal features by 3D convolutions, and integrates the 3D deblurring network into a generative adversarial network to achieve photo-realistic results.

Even our proposed method takes neighboring frames as inputs, we call it as video deblurring method for two reasons. Firstly, previous work like DBN [48], is called as video deblurring method. DBN also takes five neighboring frames as input to generate the middle sharp frame. Secondly, this method can be applied to tackle video deblurring task in the real world. Specially, videos can be regarded as multiple consecutive frames. When videos are input into our model, the proposed DBLRNet tackles five neighboring frames as a whole to generate the deblurred middle frame based on their spatio-temporal information, and obtain the deblurred videos by continuous deblurred frames finally.

III. OUR MODEL

Overview: In this section, we first introduce our DBLRNet, and then present the proposed network DBLRGAN which is on the basis of DBLRNet. Finally we detail the two loss functions (content and adversarial losses) which are used in the training stage. Both the DBLRNet and DBLRGAN are end-to-end systems for video deblurring. Note that, blurry frames can be put into our proposed models without alignment.

A. DBLRNet

In 2D CNN, convolutions are applied on 2D images or feature maps to learn features in spatial dimensions only. In case of video analysis problems, it is desirable to consider the motion variation encoded in the temporal dimension, such as multiple neighboring frames. In this paper, we propose to perform 3D convolutions [43] the convolution stages of deep residual networks to learn feature representations from both spatial and temporal dimensions for video deblurring. We operate the 3D convolution via convolving 3D kernels/ﬁlters with the cube constructed from multiple neighboring frames. By doing so, the feature maps in the convolution layers can capture the dynamic variations, which is helpful to model the blur evolution and further recover sharp frames.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>layers</th>
<th>Kernel size</th>
<th>output channels</th>
<th>operations</th>
<th>skip connection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ReLU</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>ReLU</td>
<td>L4, L32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN + ReLU</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN</td>
<td>L6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN + ReLU</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L6</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN</td>
<td>L8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L7</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN + ReLU</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L8</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN</td>
<td>L10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L9</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN + ReLU</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L10</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN</td>
<td>L12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L11</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN + ReLU</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L12</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN</td>
<td>L14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L13</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN + ReLU</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L14</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN</td>
<td>L16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L15</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN + ReLU</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L16</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN</td>
<td>L18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L17</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN + ReLU</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L18</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN</td>
<td>L20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L19</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN + ReLU</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L20</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN</td>
<td>L22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L21</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN + ReLU</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L22</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN</td>
<td>L24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L23</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN + ReLU</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L24</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN</td>
<td>L26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L25</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN + ReLU</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L26</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN</td>
<td>L28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L27</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN + ReLU</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L28</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN</td>
<td>L30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L29</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN + ReLU</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L30</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN</td>
<td>L32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L31</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN + ReLU</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L32</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>BN</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L33</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>ReLU</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L34</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>ReLU</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L35</td>
<td>3 x 3 x 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Formally, the 3D convolution operation is formulated as:

$$V_{xyz} = \sigma \left( \sum_{m} \sum_{p=0}^{P-1} \sum_{q=0}^{Q-1} \sum_{r=0}^{R-1} V_{(x+p)(y+q)(z+r)} g_{ijm} + b_{ij} \right),$$

(1)

where $V_{xyz}$ is the value at position $(x, y, z)$ in the $j$-th feature map of the $i$-th layer, $(P, Q, R)$ is the size of 3D convolution kernel. $Q_i$ responds to the temporal dimension. $g_{ijm}$ is the $(p, q, r)$-th value of the kernel connected to the $m$-th feature map from the $(i - 1)$-th layer. $\sigma(\cdot)$ is the ReLU nonlinearity activation function, which is shown to lead to better performance in various computer vision tasks than other activation functions, e.g. Sigmoid and Tanh.

Defining 3D convolution, we propose a model called DBLRNet, which is shown in Figure 2. DBLRNet is composed of two $3 \times 3 \times 3$ convolutional layers, several residual blocks [38], each containing two convolution layers, and another five convolutional layers. This architecture is designed inspired by the Fully Convolutional Neural Network (FCNN) [52], which is originally proposed for semantic segmentation. Different from FCNN and DBN [48], spatial
size of feature maps in our model keeps constant. Namely, there is not any down-sampling operation nor up-sampling operation in our DBLRNet. The detailed configurations of DBLRNet is given in Table I.

As Figure 2 shows, the input to DBLRNet is five consecutive frames. Note that we do not conduct deblurring in the original RGB space. Alternatively, we conduct deblurring on basis of gray-scale images. Specifically, the RGB space is transformed to the YCbCr space, and the Y channel is adopted as input since the illumination is the most salient one. We perform 3D convolutions with kernel size of $3 \times 3 \times 3$ ($3 \times 3$ is the spatial size and the last 3 is for the temporal dimension) in the first and second convolutional layers. To be more specific, in layer 1, three groups of consecutive frames are convolved with a set of 3D kernels respectively, resulting in three groups of feature maps. These three groups of feature maps are convolved with 3D filters again to obtain higher-level feature maps. In the following layers, the size of convolution kernels is $3 \times 3 \times 1$ due to the decrease of temporal dimensions. The stride and padding are set to 1 in every layer. The output of DBLRNet is the deblurred central frame. We transform the gray-scale output back to colorful images with the original Cb and Cr channels.

B. DBLRGAN

GAN is proposed to train generative parametric models by Goodfellow et al. [53]. It consists of two networks: a generator network $G$ and a discriminator network $D$. The goal of $G$ is to generate samples, trying to fool $D$, while $D$ is trained to distinguish generated samples from real samples. Inspired by the adversarial training strategy, we propose a model called DeBLuRing Generative Adversarial Network (DBLRGAN), which utilizes $G$ to deblur images and $D$ to discriminate deblurred images and real-world sharp images. Ideally, the discriminator can be fooled if the generator outputs sharp enough image.

Following the formulation in [53], solving the deblurring problem in the generative adversarial framework leads to the following min-max optimization problem:

$$\min_G \max_D V(G, D) = \mathbb{E}_{h \sim p_{\text{train}}(h)}[\log(D(h))] + \mathbb{E}_{\hat{h} \sim p_{\hat{h}}}[\log(1 - D(G(\hat{h})))]$$

where $h$ indicates a sample from real-world sharp frames and $\hat{h}$ represents a blurry sample. $G$ is trained to fool $D$ into misclassifying the generated frames, while $D$ is trained to distinguish deblurred frames from real-world sharp frames. $G$ and $D$ models are trained alternately, and our ultimate goal is to train a model $G$ that recovers sharp frames given blurry frames.

As shown in Figure 3, we use the proposed DBLRNet (Figure 2 and Table I) as our $G$ model, and build a CNN...
model as our D model, following the architectural guidelines proposed by Radford et al. [54]. This D model is similar to the VGG network [36]. It contains 14 convolutional layers. From bottom to top, the number of channels of the convolutional kernels increases from 64 to 512. Finally, this network is trained via a two-way soft-max classifier at the top layer to distinguish real sharp frames from deblurred ones. For more detailed configurations, please refer to Table II.

C. Loss Functions

In our work, we use two types of loss functions to train DBLRGAN.

D. Content Loss

The Mean Square Error (MSE) loss is widely used in optimization objective for video deblurring in many existing methods. Based on MSE, our content loss function is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{content}} = \frac{1}{WH} \sum_{x=1}^{W} \sum_{y=1}^{H} (I_{x,y}^{\text{sharp}} - G(I_{x,y}^{\text{blurry}}))^2,$$  

(3)

where $W$ and $H$ are the width and height of a frame, $I_{x,y}^{\text{sharp}}$ is the value of sharp frames at location $(x, y)$, and $G(I_{x,y}^{\text{blurry}})$ corresponds to the value of deblurred frames which are generated from DBLRNet.

E. Adversarial Loss

In order to drive $G$ to generate sharp frames similar to the real-world frames, we introduce an adversarial loss function to update models. During the training stage, parameters of DBLRNet are updated in order to fool the discriminator $D$. The adversarial loss function can be represented as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{adversarial}} = \log(1 - D(G(I_{\text{blurry}}))),$$

(4)

where $D(G(I_{\text{blurry}}))$ is the probability that the recovered frame is a real sharp frame.

F. Balance of Different Loss Functions

In the training stage, the loss functions are combined in a weight fusion fashion:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{content}} + \alpha \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\text{adversarial}}.$$  

(5)

In order to balance the content and adversarial losses, we use a hyper-parameter $\alpha$ to yield the final loss $\mathcal{L}$. We investigate different values of $\alpha$ from 0 to 0.1. When $\alpha = 0$, only the content loss works. In this case, DBLRGAN degrades to DBLRNet. With the increase of $\alpha$, the adversarial loss plays a more and more important role. The value of $\alpha$ should be relative small, because large values of $\alpha$ can degrade the performance of our model.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, we conduct experiments to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed DBLRNet and DBLRGAN on the task of video deblurring.

A. Datasets

1) VideoDeblurring Dataset: Su et al. [48] build a benchmark which contains videos captured by various kinds of devices such as iPhone 6s, GoPro Hero 4 and Nexus 5×, and each video includes about 100 frames of size 1280 × 720. This benchmark consists of two sub datasets: quantitative and qualitative ones. The quantitative subset contains 6708 blurry frames and their corresponding ground-truth sharp frames from 71 videos. The qualitative subset includes 22 scenes, most of which contain more than 100 images. Note that there is not ground truth for the qualitative subset, thus we can only conduct qualitative experiments on this subset. We split the quantitative subset into 61 training videos and 10 testing videos, which is the same setting as the previous method [48]. Besides quantitative experiments on the 10 testing videos, we additionally test our models on the qualitative subset.
Fig. 4. Exemplar results on the VideoDeblurring dataset (quantitative subset). From left to right: real blurry frame/ Output of DBLRGAN, input, PSDEBLUR, DBN [48], DBLRNet (single), DBLRNet (multi), DBLRNet, DBLRGAN and ground-truth. All results are obtained without alignment. Best viewed in color.

2) Blurred KITTI Dataset: Geiger et al. [55] develop a dataset called KITTI by using their autonomous driving platform. The KITTI dataset consists of several subsets for various kinds of tasks, such as stereo matching, optical flow estimation, visual odometry, 3D object detection and tracking. Based on the stereo 2015 dataset in the KITTI dataset, Pan et al. [33] create a synthetic Blurred KITTI dataset, which contains 199 scenes. Each of the scenes includes 3 images captured by a left camera and 3 images captured by a right camera. It is worthy noting that, the KITTI data set is not used when training our models. Namely, this dataset is utilized only for testing.

B. Implementation Details and Parameters

When training DBLRNet, we use Gaussian distribution with zero mean and a standard deviation of 0.01 to initialize weights. In each iteration, we update all the weights after learning a mini-batch of size 4. To augment the training set, we crop a 128 × 128 patch at any location of an image (1280 × 720). In this way, there are at least 712193 possible samples per one frame on the dataset [48], which greatly increases the number of training samples. In addition, we also randomly flip frames in the training stage. The DBLRNet is trained with a learning rate of 10^{-4}, based on the content loss only. We also decrease the learning rate to 10^{-5} when the training loss does not decrease (usually after about 1.5 × 10^5 iterations), for the sake of additional performance improvement.

In DBLRGAN, we set the hyper parameter α as 0.0002 when we conduct experiments as empirically this value achieves the best performance. It has a better PSNR value due to three reasons. Firstly, when training DBLRGAN, we directly place DBLRNet as our generator and fine-tune our DBLRGAN. Thus, the DBLRGAN has a high PSNR value like DBLRNet at the beginning. Secondly, the loss functions of DBLRGAN are combined in a weight fusion fashion. We set the hyper parameter α as 0.0002 when we conduct experiments. This is a very small value, which forces the content loss to have an overwhelming superiority over the adversarial loss on PSNR value during the training stage. Thirdly, the learning rate is set as 10^{-5}, so the PSNR value does not have severe changes. We early stop training our DBLRGAN before the PSNR start to drop.

C. Effectiveness of DBLRNet

The proposed DBLRNet has the advantage of learning spatio-temporal feature representations. In order to verify the effectiveness of DBLRNet, we develop another two similar neural networks: DBLRNet (single) and DBLRNet (multi). These two models have the same network architectures as the original DBLRNet while there are two differences between them and the original DBLRNet. The first difference is the input. The input of DBLRNet (single) is one single frame, while the input of DBLRNet (multi) and DBLRNet is a stack of five neighboring frames. The second difference is that, in both DBLRNet (single) and DBLRNet (multi), all the convolution operations are 2D convolution operations.

Table III and IV show the PSNR values of DBLRNet (single), DBLRNet (multi) and DBLRNet on the VideoDeblurring dataset and the Blurred KITTI dataset, respectively. Compared with DBLRNet (single), DBLRNet (multi) achieves approximately 3% ~ 5% improvement of PSNR values, which shows that stacking multiple neighboring frames is useful to learn temporal features for video deblurring even in case of 2D convolution. Conducting these two kinds of comparisons, the effectiveness of DBLRNet has been verified.
TABLE III

Performance Comparisons in Terms PSNR With PSDEBLUR, WFA [32], DBN (SINGLE), DBN (NOALIGN), DBN(FLOW) [48], DRLRNet (SINGLE) and DRLRNet (MULTI) on the VideoDeblurring Dataset. The Best Results Are Shown in Bold, and the Second Best Are Underlined. All Results of DRLRNet and DRLRGAN Are Obtained without Aligning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>Average (PSNR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INPUT</td>
<td>24.14</td>
<td>30.52</td>
<td>28.38</td>
<td>27.31</td>
<td>22.60</td>
<td>29.31</td>
<td>27.74</td>
<td>23.86</td>
<td>30.99</td>
<td>26.98</td>
<td>27.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSDEBLUR</td>
<td>24.42</td>
<td>28.77</td>
<td>25.15</td>
<td>27.77</td>
<td>22.02</td>
<td>25.74</td>
<td>26.11</td>
<td>19.71</td>
<td>26.48</td>
<td>24.62</td>
<td>25.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBN (SINGLE)</td>
<td>25.75</td>
<td>31.15</td>
<td>29.30</td>
<td>28.38</td>
<td>23.63</td>
<td>30.70</td>
<td>29.23</td>
<td>25.62</td>
<td>31.92</td>
<td>28.06</td>
<td>28.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBN (NOALIGN)</td>
<td>27.83</td>
<td>33.11</td>
<td>31.29</td>
<td>29.73</td>
<td>25.12</td>
<td>32.52</td>
<td>30.80</td>
<td>27.28</td>
<td>33.32</td>
<td>29.51</td>
<td>30.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBN (FLOW)</td>
<td>28.31</td>
<td>33.14</td>
<td>30.92</td>
<td>29.99</td>
<td>25.58</td>
<td>32.39</td>
<td>30.56</td>
<td>27.15</td>
<td>32.95</td>
<td>29.53</td>
<td>30.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRLRNet (SINGLE)</td>
<td>32.68</td>
<td>39.41</td>
<td>35.11</td>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>34.94</td>
<td>30.77</td>
<td>29.81</td>
<td>25.67</td>
<td>33.14</td>
<td>30.06</td>
<td>29.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRLRNet (MULTI)</td>
<td>30.40</td>
<td>32.17</td>
<td>36.68</td>
<td>33.38</td>
<td>26.20</td>
<td>32.20</td>
<td>30.71</td>
<td>26.71</td>
<td>36.50</td>
<td>30.65</td>
<td>31.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRLRNet</td>
<td>31.96</td>
<td>34.31</td>
<td>37.86</td>
<td>35.21</td>
<td>27.23</td>
<td>33.63</td>
<td>32.32</td>
<td>27.84</td>
<td>38.23</td>
<td>31.83</td>
<td>33.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRLRGAN</td>
<td>32.52</td>
<td>34.51</td>
<td>37.63</td>
<td>35.15</td>
<td>27.42</td>
<td>33.81</td>
<td>32.43</td>
<td>28.48</td>
<td>38.52</td>
<td>32.06</td>
<td>33.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Effectiveness of DRLRGAN

In this section, we investigate the performance of the proposed DRLRGAN. Table III and IV show the quantitative results on the VideoDeblurring and Blurred KITTI dataset, respectively. Quantitatively, DRLRGAN outperforms DRLRNet with slight advance (about 1% improvement). As have mentioned above, the generator model in DRLRGAN aims to generate frames with similar pixel values as the sharp frames while the discriminator model along with the adversarial loss drives the generator to recover realistic images like real-word images. These two models complement each other and achieve better results. The results in Table III and IV show that the improvement achieved by DRLRNet is more obvious than GAN model. While according to Figure 5, the deblurred frames generated by DRLRGAN are sharper than DRLRNet, e.g., the word “Bill” in the top row. \( \alpha \) should be set as a little value because a bigger \( \alpha \) will break the balance of content and adversarial loss, which causes worse performance of video deblurring.

Figure 4 and 5 provide exemplar results on the quantitative and qualitative subsets of the VideoDeblurring dataset, respectively. Please notice the two columns corresponding to DRLRNet and DRLRGAN in Figure 4, especially the letters in the third row, where results of DRLRGAN are more photorealistic than those of DRLRNet. The same case is observed in Figure 5. Letters in results of DRLRGAN are sharper than those of DRLRNet, which consistently shows that, DRLRGAN...
generates more realistic frames with finer textural details compared with DBLRNet.

All results of DBLRNet and DBLRGAN are obtained without aligning. Aligning images is computationally expensive and fragile [48]. Kim et al. [50] evaluate DBN model and find that the speed of DBN model without aligning is almost more than 20 times faster than it with aligning because aligning procedure is very time-consuming. Our proposed models enable the generation of high quality results without computing any alignment, which makes it highly efficient to scene types.

E. Comparison With Existing Methods

To further verify the effectiveness of our models, we additionally compare the performance of DBLRNet and DBLRGAN with that of several state-of-the-art approaches on both the VideoDeblurring dataset and the KITTI dataset.

On the VideoDeblurring dataset, we compare our models with PSDEBLUR, WFA [32], DBN [48] and DBN (single). PSDEBLUR is the deblurred results of PHOTOSHOP. WFA is a method based on multiple frames as input. DBN achieves the state-of-the-art performance on the VideoDeblurring data set before this work. DBN (single) is a variant of DBN which stacks 5 copies of one single frame as input. Table III shows quantitative comparisons between our methods and these methods. Specially, the results indicate that our method significantly outperforms the DBN model by 3.14 db. Figure 4 and 5 also represent visual comparison between our models and these methods on both the quantitative (Figure 4)
and qualitative (Figure 5) sub-datasets, respectively. Evidently our models achieve sharper results.

On the dataset of Blurred KITTI, we conduct comparison with [28], [33], and [56]. Reference [33] is a geometry based method and utilizes additional stereo information from image pairs. It is the current state-of-the-art on the Blurred KITTI dataset. We simply apply the DBLRNet trained on the VideoDeblurring dataset to the Blurred KITTI dataset and still achieve comparable results with [33]. With the additional adversarial loss, DBLRGAN slightly outperforms [33]. Please note that, our models are not specialized for the stereo setting.

F. Different Frames & Other Types of Blur

1) Different Frames: We are curious about how the number of consecutive frames influences the performance of our DBLRGAN model. Thus we compare the PSNR values of the model by varying the number of input blurry frames. Making it more specific, on the VideoBlurring dataset, five kinds of settings, three, five, seven, nine and eleven continuous frames are taken as input to our model. Fig. 7 shows that our model with five frames as input achieves the best performance. With the increase of input frames, the PSNR values become lower. We suspect that, as our 3D convolution based network can extract powerful representations to describe short-term fast-varying motions occurring in continuous input frames, it is suitable to set the temporal span relatively small to capture the rapid dynamics across local adjacent frames.

2) Generalize to Other Types of Blurry Videos: Though our model is trained on the VideoDeblurring dataset, which includes only blurry frames caused by camera shakes, we are also curious about how it generalize to blurry videos of other blur types. To this end, we test it on videos from the Blurred KITTI dataset. Fig. 6 shows exemplar frames, which is captured by a camera mounted on a high-speed car. The dominated blur is caused by bokeh (see the comparison between the center area and the border area in the image), rather than camera shakes. As shown in the comparison of the enlarged patches, by applying our DBLRGAN model, the edges in the image become sharper. As discussed above, this verifies the advantage of our method capturing short-term fast-varying motions.

3) Limitation: Removing jumping artifacts is a challenge of video deblurring. As shown in Fig. 1 (col. 4&5, row 2), there are also some jumping artifacts in the deblurred frames. Thus our method cannot solve it completely. However, the proposed model contributes to alleviate the unexpected temporal artifacts because it captures jointly spatial and temporal information encoded in neighboring frames. Even without post-processing and aligning, our proposed model can also achieve satisfied performance. Please refer to Fig. 4 and 5. Comparing with prior methods, when frames are severely blurred, our methods can generate better deblurred frames.

V. Conclusions

In this paper, we have resorted to spatio-temporal learning and adversarial training to recover sharp and realistic video frames for video deblurring. Specifically, we proposed two novel network models. The first one is our DBLRNet, which uses 3D convolutional kernels on the basis of deep residual neural networks. We demonstrated that DBLRNet is able to capture better spatio-temporal features, leading to improved blur removal. Our second contribution is DBLRGAN equipped with both the content loss and adversarial loss, which are complementary to each other, driving the model to generate visually realistic images. The experimental results on two standard benchmarks show that our proposed DBLRNet and DBLRGAN outperform the existing state-of-the-art methods in video deblurring.
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