Linear Discriminants

- $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_d) =$ vector of attributes (features)
- $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{w}_1, ..., \mathbf{w}_d) = \text{weight vector}$
- w•x = $\Sigma_1^d w_i x_i$
 - $= \mathbf{w} \mathbf{x}^{t}$

(or w'x if the vectors are column vectors, as in Duda, Hart & Stork)

• Linear discriminant is a function of the form:

 $- g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w} \bullet \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w}_0 = \Sigma_1^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbf{w}_i \mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{w}_0$

• w is normal to the **hyperplane** H defined by:

- $-H = \{x: g(x)=0\}$
- Proof:

•
$$\mathbf{x}_1$$
, \mathbf{x}_2 in $\mathbf{H} \Rightarrow \mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_2) = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdot \mathbf{w}_0 \cdot (\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_2) \cdot \mathbf{w}_0) = \mathbf{0}$



Hyperplanes



• Use g as a classifier: x is classified +1 if g(x) > 0 i.e. $\Sigma_1^d w_i x_i > -w_0$ - 1 if g(x) < 0 i.e. $\Sigma_1^d w_i x_i < -w_0$

- Thus the classifier is Sign(g(x))
- Extend from binary case (2 classes) to mulitiple classes later.



Threshold is an Extra Weight

- w_0 can be incorporated into w by setting $x_0 = I$
 - Then $g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \sum_{0}^{d} \mathbf{w}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}$
- Example:
 - -d=I, "hyperplane" is just a point separating +ve from -ve points
 - Embed the points into d=2 space by making their first component I
 - "hyperplane" passes through origin



Perceptron

- Input is $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_d)$
- Weight vector = $(w_0, w_1, ..., w_d)$
- Output is $g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \sum_{0}^{d} \mathbf{w}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}$
- Classify using Sign(g(x))



Linearly Separable

Given: Training Sample $T = \{(x_1, y_1), ..., (x_n, y_n)\}$, where:

- each x_i is a vector $x_i = (x_{i1}, ..., x_{id})$
- each $y_i = \pm I$
- T is linearly separable if there is a hyperplane separating the +ve points from the -ve points i.e. there exists w such that

$$-g(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i > 0$$
 if and only if $\mathbf{y}_i = +\mathbf{I}$

-i.e.
$$y_i(w \bullet x_i) > 0$$
 for all i



Finding a Weight Vector

- Hypothesis Space = all weight vectors (with d+1 coordinates)
- If w separates +ve from -ve points, so does aw for any a > 0.
- How do we find a "good" weight vector w?
- Could try "all" w until find one
 - -e.g. try all integer-valued w in order of increasing length.
- Better idea
 - repeatedly change w to correct the points it classifies incorrectly.



Updating the Weights

• If a +ve point x_r is incorrectly classified i.e. $w \cdot x_r < 0$, then:

- INCREASE w•x, by:
 - increasing w_i if $x_{ri} > 0$
 - decreasing w_i if $x_{ri} < 0$
- If a -ve point x_r is incorrectly classified i.e. $w \cdot x_r > 0$, then:
 - DECREASE w•x_f by:
 - increasing w_i if $x_{ri} < 0$
 - decreasing w_i if $x_{ri} > 0$
- For both +ve and -ve points, do:
 - $-w \leftarrow w + y_r x_r$ if x_r is incorrectly classified
- NOTE: A point x_r is classified correctly if and only if
 - $-y_r(w \bullet x_r) > 0$
- -Notational "trick" used by some texts:
 - -multiply -ve points by -I
 - can express formulas more simply (without y_i)

Perceptron Algorithm

Algorithm:

- **-**w=0
- Repeat until all points x, are correctly classified
 - If x_r is incorrectly classified, do $w \leftarrow w + y_r x_r$

-Output w



Intuition behind Convergence



Perceptron Convergence Proof

Proposition: If the training set is linearly separable, the perceptron algorithm converges to a solution vector in a finite number of steps.

<u>Proof</u>

- Let w^{*} be some solution vector i.e. $y_i(w^* \cdot x_i) > 0$ for all i (Eqn I)
 - -w* exists because the sample is linearly separable
 - aw^* is a solution vector for any a > 0.
- The update is:
 - $w(k+1) = w(k) + y_r x_r$ if x_r is misclassified
- We want to show that:

-
$$|w(k+1)-aw^*|^2 \le |w(k)-aw^*|^2$$
 -c for some constant c>0

We have:

$$-|w(k+1)-aw^*|^2 = |w(k)-aw^*+y_rx_r|^2 = (w(k)-aw^*+y_rx_r) \cdot (w(k)-aw^*+y_rx_r) = |w(k)-aw^*|^2 + 2(w(k)-aw^*) \cdot y_rx_r + |y_rx_r|^2$$

- Since $w(k) \cdot y_r x_r < 0$ because x_r was misclassified, we have:
 - $-|w(k+1)-aw^*|^2 \le |w(k)-aw^*|^2 2ay_r(w^* \bullet x_r) + |y_r x_r|^2$ (Eqn 2)



Convergence Proof (contd)

• Since $y_r(w^* \bullet x_r) > 0$ from (Eqn 1), our goal is: pick a so large that $-2ay_r(w^* \bullet x_r) + |y_r x_r|^2 < -c$ for some constant c > 0• Let $\beta = \max_i |y_i x_i| = \max_i |x_i|$ $\gamma = \min_i y_r(w^* \bullet x_r) > 0$ (Neither β nor γ depend on k!) • Then: $-2ay_r(w^* \bullet x_r) + |y_r x_r|^2 < -2a\gamma + \beta^2$ • Pick $a = \beta^2/\gamma$ • Then: $-2ay_r(w^* \bullet x_r) + |y_r x_r|^2 < -2\beta^2 + \beta^2 = -\beta^2$ and so, from (Eqn 2) $-|w(k+1)-aw^*|^2 \leq |w(k)-aw^*|^2 - \beta^2$

Since squared distances are never negative, this decrease must eventually stop;
 i.e. the update rule "w(k+1) = w(k) + y_rx_r if x_r is misclassified" stops changing w - at that point w(k) = aw* for some a and so w(k) separates the training points.

Same proof (different notation!) as in Duda, Hart & Stork, pg 230-232

Perceptron Algorithm (Details)

Implementing the algorithm in practice:

- Need to cycle through examples multiple times
- Update w after each cycle, not every example ("batch perceptron")
- Learning Rate η
 - w \leftarrow w + η y_rx_r
 - small η gives slow convergence
 - large η may cause overshoot
 - η can be updated each iteration, want $\eta = \eta(k) \rightarrow 0$ as iteration $k \rightarrow \infty$
 - $\blacktriangleright \eta(k) = \eta(1)/k$
 - Decrease $\eta(k)$ if performance improves on k^{th} step



Non Linearly-Separable

Perceptron Algorithm does not converge if training set is not linearly separable

- Cannot learn X-OR or any non-linearly separable concept.
- -Pointed out by Minsky & Papert (1969) set back research for many years
- Linearly Separable training sample ⇒ underlying concept is linearly separable
 - -As d, the number of dimensions, increases, random training set is increasingly likely to be linearly separable

+

X-OR

- In practice, try get low error if not lin sep.
- Heuristics:
 - Terminate when (length of) w stops fluctuating
 - -Average recent w's
 - Choice of learning rate

Gradient Descent

Suppose J is some function of the weight w which we want to minimize.

- Gradient Descent searches iteratively for this minimum by moving from the current choice of w in the direction of J's steepest descent:
 - w ← w η∇J(w),
 - where $\bigtriangledown J$ is the vector $(\partial J/\partial w_0, \partial J/\partial w_1, ..., \partial J/w_d)$
 - Terminate when $|\eta \bigtriangledown J(w)|$ is sufficiently small
- Example: $J(w) = -\Sigma_M y_i(w \cdot x_i)$
 - -where the sum is ONLY over the set M of x_i misclassified by this hyperplane
 - $y_i(w \bullet x_i) < 0$ if x_i is misclassified, so J(w) >=0, we would like to minimize J.

• Since
$$y_i(w \cdot x_i) = y_i(w_1 \cdot x_{i1} + ... + w_d \cdot x_{id})$$

$$\partial \mathbf{J}/\partial \mathbf{w}_{r} = -\Sigma_{M} \mathbf{y}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}$$

$$\nabla \mathbf{J} = -\Sigma_{\mathsf{M}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathsf{i}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{i}}$$

and gradient descent becomes:

 $w \leftarrow w + \eta \Sigma_M y_i x_i$ ("batch perceptron")

Thus Perceptron Algorithm does gradient descent search in weight space.

Least-Mean-Squared

■ J(w) = Squared Error(w) =
$$0.5\Sigma_1^n (y_i - (w \bullet x_i))^2$$

■ Since $y_i - (w \bullet x_i) = y_i - (w_1 x_{i1} + ... + w_d x_{id}),$
 $\partial J/\partial w_r = 0.5\Sigma_1^n 2(y_i - (w \bullet x_i))(-x_{ir})$
 $\nabla J = -\Sigma_1^n (y_i - (w \bullet x_i))x_i$
 $w \leftarrow w + \eta \Sigma_1^n (y_i - (w \bullet x_i))x_i$

For faster convergence, consider the samples one-by-one:

$$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \eta (\mathbf{y}_i - (\mathbf{w} \bullet \mathbf{x}_i)) \mathbf{x}_i$$

- the LMS (or Delta or Widrow-Hoff) learning rule.
- -same algorithm (different notation!) as Duda, Hart and Stork, pg 246.
- -basis of backpropagation algorithm for training neural networks.
- LMS rule converges asymptotically to the weight vector yielding minimum squared error whether or not the training sample is linearly separable.
- However, minimizing the error does NOT necessarily minimize the number of misclassified examples.



Multiple Classes

- Suppose there are n classes c₁, ..., c_n
- (I) I vs rest
 - -Use I linear discriminant for each class c_i , where points in c_i are +ve, all points not in c_i are -ve.
 - Need n linear discriminants
 - -Assign ambiguous elements to nearest class
- (2) pairwise
 - -Use I linear discriminant for each pair of classes
 - -Need n(n-1)/2 linear discriminants
 - -Assign points to class that gets most votes
 - -Assign ambiguous elements to nearest class
- (3) linear machine
 - -Use $g_i(x) = w_i x^t + w_{i0}$ for i=1 to n; Assign x to c_i if $g_i(x) > g_i(x)$ for all $j \neq i$
 - Need n linear discriminants
 - -No ambiguous elements



Multiple classes (I vs rest) + not + + \mathbf{O} 0 + ? 0 + + not not O \mathbf{O}

Use n linear discriminants for n classes Ambiguous region (?) - use distance to nearest class

Multiple class (pairwise)



Use n(n-1)/2 linear discriminants for n classes Ambiguous region (?) - use distance to nearest class

Linear Machine

- Define n linear discriminants:
 - $-g_i(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}_i \mathbf{x}^t + \mathbf{w}_{i0} \quad i = \mathbf{I} \text{ to } \mathbf{n}$
 - Note typo in Duda Hart and Stork, pg 218! $(g_i(x) = w^t x_i + w_{i0})$
- Assign x to class with largest value:
 - -x belongs to c_i if $g_i(x) > g_i(x)$ for all $j \neq i$
- Divides space into n regions, where each g_i is largest
 - Regions are convex and single connected
 - No ambiguous region
- The boundary between any 2 contiguous regions is a hyperplane:
 - $-H_{ij} = \{x: g_i(x) = g_i(x)\} = \{x: (w_i-w_j)x^t + w_{i0}-w_{j0} = 0\}$
 - -Thus differences between weight vectors are normal to the boundaries
 - May not have all n(n-1)/2 boundaries
- How does the definition of linearly separable generalize to multiple classes? (See Homework)



Multiple classes (Linear machine)



Use n linear discriminants for n classes No ambiguous region

