#### Lecture 8

#### LVCSR Training and Decoding

Michael Picheny, Bhuvana Ramabhadran, Stanley F. Chen

IBM T.J. Watson Research Center Yorktown Heights, New York, USA {picheny, bhuvana, stanchen}@us.ibm.com

12 November 2012

#### What We're Talking About Today

- Large-vocabulary continuous speech recognition (LVCSR).
- Acoustic model training.
  - How to estimate parameters, e.g., for GMM's.
  - How to build phonetic decision trees.
- Decoding.
  - How to select best word sequence ...
  - Given audio sample.

Part I

# LVCSR Training

#### Review

- **x** Observations; sequence of ~40d feature vectors.
- $\omega$  word sequence.
- Fundamental equation of ASR.

$$\omega^* = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\omega} P(\omega | \mathbf{x}) = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\omega} P(\omega) P_{\omega}(\mathbf{x})$$

- $P_{\omega}(\mathbf{x})$  acoustic model.
  - For word sequence *ω*, how likely are features **x**?
- $P(\omega)$  language model.
  - How likely is word sequence  $\omega$ ?

#### **Review: Acoustic Modeling**

• For word sequence  $\omega$ , construct associated HMM.



- Each **x** can be output by many *paths* through HMM.
- Compute  $P_{\omega}(\mathbf{x})$  by summing over path likelihoods.

$$\mathcal{P}_{\omega}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{ ext{paths } \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{P}_{\omega}(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{A})$$

- Compute path likelihood by ...
  - Multiplying arc and GMM output probs along path.

#### Acoustic Likelihoods: Small Vocabulary

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\omega}(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{\text{paths } A} P_{\omega}(\mathbf{x}, A) \\ &= \sum_{\text{paths } A} \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{a_t} \times P(\vec{x}_t | a_t) \\ &= \sum_{\text{paths } A} \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{a_t} \sum_{\text{comp } j} p_{a_t, j} \prod_{\text{dim } d} \mathcal{N}(x_{t, d}; \mu_{a_t, j, d}, \sigma_{a_t, j, d}^2) \end{aligned}$$

- $p_a$  transition probability for arc a.
- $p_{a,j}$  mixture weight, *j*th component of GMM on arc *a*.
- $\mu_{a,j,d}$  mean, *d*th dim, *j*th component, GMM on arc *a*.

• 
$$\sigma_{a,i,d}^2$$
 — variance, *d*th dim, *j*th component, GMM on arc *a*.

5/120

#### Acoustic Likelihoods: Large Vocabulary

$$P_{\omega}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\text{paths } A} P_{\omega}(\mathbf{x}, A)$$
  
=  $\sum_{\text{paths } A} \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{a_t} \times P(\vec{x}_t | a_t)$   
=  $\sum_{\text{paths } A} \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{a_t} \sum_{\text{comp } j} p_{a_t, j} \prod_{\text{dim } d} \mathcal{N}(x_{t, d}; \mu_{a_t, j, d}, \sigma_{a_t, j, d}^2)$ 

- $p_a$  transition probability for arc *a*.
- $p_{a,j}$  mixture weight, *j*th component of GMM on arc *a*.
- $\mu_{a,j,d}$  mean, *d*th dim, *j*th component, GMM on arc *a*.
- $\sigma_{a,i,d}^2$  variance, *d*th dim, *j*th component, GMM on arc *a*.

# So, What's Different for Large Vocabulary?

• The HMM.

#### Where Are We?



#### Acoustic Modeling for LVCSR

#### 2 The Local Maxima Problen

3 Recipes for LVCSR Training

#### Discussion

#### Review: Building HMM's, Small Vocabulary

- Training.
  - Enumerate possible word sequences given transcript.
  - Replace each word with its HMM; collect FB counts.



- Decoding.
  - Enumerate possible word sequences.
  - Replace each word with its HMM; run Viterbi.



# Example: Word Models (Training HMM)

- One HMM per word (two states per phone, say).
  - Each HMM has own GMM's (one per state).
- *e.g.*, reference transcript: *EIGHT TWO*.
  - EY TD T UW



# What's the Problem With Word Models?

- What if want to be able to decode ...
  - Word not in training set, *e.g.*, *REDONKULOUS*?
- Lots of data for some words.
  - Almost no data for others.
- Not scalable to large vocabulary.

#### **Phonetic Modeling**

- One HMM per phoneme.
  - Each HMM has own GMM's.
- Need pronunciation or baseform for each word.

 $TWO \Rightarrow T UW$  $TEN \Rightarrow T EY N$ 

- Concatenate phoneme HMM's to form HMM for word.
  - *i.e.*, share GMM's for phone across all words ...
  - Containing that phone.
- What if word not in training? No problemo.
- What if phoneme not in training? Unlikely.

#### **Phonetic Modeling**



14/120

#### What's the Difference?



- .....
- HMM topology typically doesn't change.
- HMM parameterization changes.

# Pop Quiz

- Scenario:
  - 1000 word vocabulary; 50 phonemes.
  - Avg. word length = 5 phones; two states per phoneme.
- Word modeling: one HMM per word.
  - How many GMM's per word on average?
  - How many GMM's in whole system?
- Phonetic modeling: one HMM per phoneme.
  - How many GMM's per phoneme?
  - How many GMM's in whole system?

#### **Context-Independent Phonetic Modeling**

- Same phoneme HMM independent of phonetic context.
- What's the problem?
  - Is 'L' in 'S L IH' and 'IH L Z' the same?
  - Allophonic variation; coarticulation.
- Symptom: too few GMM's ⇒ underfitting.

#### **Context-Dependent Phonetic Modeling**

- Separate HMM for each context of each phoneme?
  - *e.g.*, *triphone* model  $\Rightarrow$  context is  $\pm$  1 phone.
  - Separate HMM for *L-S+IH*, *L-IH+Z*, ...
- What's the problem?
- Solution: cluster triphones.
  - e.g., L-S+IH, L-S+AA, L-S+AE, L-S+EH, ...
  - Separate HMM for each *cluster*.
  - Most popular method: decision trees.

17/120

# Example: Tree for Phoneme T



#### How Many Trees?

- Which phoneme position affects pronunciation of ...
  - Beginning of current phoneme the most?
  - What about end of current phoneme?
- Separate decision tree for each phoneme HMM state!
  - If 50 phones, 2 states/phone, how many trees total?
  - For each tree, one GMM per leaf.
- HMM topology fixed.
  - Choose GMM to use at each position ...
  - By finding leaf in corresponding tree.

#### Example: Tree for Phoneme T, State 2



#### **Context-Dependent Phonetic Modeling**

• Start with phoneme sequence.



• Substitute in HMM topology for each phoneme.



• Select GMM for each state using associated tree.



22/120

# Pop Quiz

- Scenario:
  - 1000 word vocabulary; 50 phonemes.
  - Avg. word length = 5 phones; two states per phoneme.
  - Each decision tree contains 100 leaves on average.
- Word modeling: one HMM per word.
  - How many GMM's per word on average? 10.
  - How many GMM's in whole system? 10,000.
- Phonetic modeling, CI: one HMM per phoneme.
  - How many GMM's per phoneme? 2.
  - How many GMM's in whole system? 100.
- Phonetic modeling, CD: many HMM's per phoneme.
  - How many GMM's per phoneme?
  - How many GMM's in whole system?

#### Size Matters

• Typical model sizes:

		GMM's/		
type	HMM	state	GMM's	Gaussians
word	per word	1	10–500	100–10k
CI phone	per phone	1	$\sim$ 150	1k–3k
CD phone	per phone	1–200	1k–10k	10k–300k

• 40d feature vectors  $\Rightarrow$  80 parameters/Gaussian.

• Big models can have tens of millions of parameters.

#### Recap

- Word modeling doesn't scale.
  - Don't share data between words.
  - Some words have lots of data; other very little.
  - Can't model coarticulation across words.

#### • Phonetic modeling scales.

- Share data between words; parameter tying.
- Every phoneme has lots of data ...
- But some lots more than others.
- Context-dependent phonetic modeling.
  - Models coarticulation, including cross-word.
  - More data  $\Rightarrow$  more leaves  $\Rightarrow$  more parameters.
  - Can spread data evenly across GMM's.

#### Discussion

- CD phonetic modeling with decision trees.
  - State of the art since early 1990's.
  - No serious challenger on horizon?
  - triphone model  $\pm 1$  phones of context.
  - quinphone model  $\pm 2$  phones of context.
  - Longer context makes decoding much harder!
- Basic issue: parameter tying.
  - Each state for each phoneme has own decision tree.
  - Each leaf in each decision tree has own GMM.
  - Share leaf GMM across all words containing leaf.
  - What are other possible schemes?

25/120

# Where Are We?

1 Acoustic Modeling for LVCSR

2 The Local Maxima Problem

3 Recipes for LVCSR Training

#### Discussion

#### Training $\Leftrightarrow$ Parameter Estimation

- Likelihood of training data is function of parameter values.
  - Transition probabilities.
  - GMM's: mixture weights; means and variances.
- Find parameter values to maximize likelihood.
- Tool: Forward-Backward algorithm.
  - Given initial values, iteratively adjust parameters ...
  - To improve likelihood.
  - *i.e.*, find closest local maximum to start.

#### Small Vocabulary Training — Lab 2

- Phase 1: Flat start.
  - Initialize all Gaussian means to 0, variances to 1.
- Phase 2: Run Forward-Backward algorithm to convergence.
- Phase 3: Profit!

#### Large Vocabulary Training

- What's changed?
  - Lab 2: <2500 parameters.
  - Large vocabulary: up to 10M+ parameters.
- Realistically, can't do simple hill-climbing search ...
  - On 10M+ parameters and find good local maximum.
  - It's a miracle it works with 2500 parameters.

29/120

# Hill Climbing and Local Maxima

- FB finds "nearest" maximum to initial parameters.
  - With bad starting point, final model will be garbage.
- How to find good starting point?





# Where Do Local Maxima Come From?

- ML estimation for non-hidden models is easy.
  - *e.g.*, non-hidden HMM's; Gaussians; multinomials.
  - Count and normalize; no search necessary.
- Problem must be hidden variables!

## What Are The Hidden Variables?

$$P_{\omega}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\text{paths } A} \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{a_t} \sum_{\text{comp } j} p_{a_t, j} \prod_{\text{dim } d} \mathcal{N}(x_{t, d}; \mu_{a_t, j, d}, \sigma^2_{a_t, j, d})$$

- Look for sums or max's.
- Path through HMM  $\Rightarrow$  which GMM/state at each frame.
- Which component in each GMM at each frame.

#### Hidden Variables and Local Maxima

- Assume each GMM has single component  $\Rightarrow$  not hidden.
- Let's assign values to every hidden variable ...
  - In whole training set.
  - *i.e.*, which GMM generates each frame.



• Call hidden assignment over whole corpus an alignment.

33/120

34/120

#### Alignments and Parameter Initialization

- Fixing alignment  $\Rightarrow$  making corpus non-hidden.
  - Easy to do ML estimation of parameters.
  - Like Viterbi-style training in Lab 2.
  - *i.e.*, can use alignment to initialize parameters.
- Data used to train given GMM comes from ....
  - All frames aligned to that GMM.
- If seed parameters using "bad" alignment ...
  - Wrong data used to train GMM's.
  - Parameters near bad maximum?
- If seed parameters using "good" alignment ...
  - Right data used to train GMM's.
  - Parameters near good maximum?

## Example: Good and Bad Alignments



- Good alignment matches "truth".
  - GMM models what it's supposed to be modeling.
  - e.g., GMM associated with first state of TD-EY+T ...
  - Aligns to initial frames of 'TD' in this context.

frame	0	1	2	3	4	5	
truth	EY.1	EY.1	EY.2	EY.2	EY.2	TD.1	
hyp	EY.1	EY.1	EY.2	EY.2	EY.2	TD.1	

Bad alignment — doesn't match "truth".

frame	0	1	2	3	4	5	
truth	EY.1	EY.1	EY.2	EY.2	EY.2	TD.1	
hyp	EY.1	EY.2	EY.2	TD.1	TD.1	TD.2	

#### Parameter Initialization

- Key to finding good starting point for FB:
  - Need good alignment to seed parameters!
- Point: if have existing "good" model ...
  - Use model to compute (Viterbi) alignment.
  - Use alignment to bootstrap another model.
  - Repeat to build more and more complex models!
- Where to get first "good" model?
  - Where does FB with flat start actually work!?
- Build lots of incrementally more complex models ....
  - Or go straight from initial model to final model?

#### The Basic Plan

- Step 1: Build CI model with 1 Gaussian/GMM.
  - Know flat start + FB works!
- Step 2: Build CI model with 2 Gaussians/GMM.

. . . . . .

. . . . . .

• Seed using alignment from last system; run FB.

- Step k: Build CD model with 128 Gaussians/GMM.
  - Seed using alignment from last system; run FB.

37/120

# Ways to Seed Next Model From Last One

- Via alignment.
  - Do Viterbi-style training for next model ...
  - Using Viterbi alignment computed using last model.

frame	0	1	2	3	4	5	
GMM	EY.1	EY.1	EY.2	EY.2	EY.2	TD.1	

- Via parameters.
  - Seed parameters of next model so ...
  - Viterbi alignment is same (or close) as for last model.
  - *e.g.*, GMM splitting (clone each Gaussian, perturb).
  - *e.g.*,  $CI \Rightarrow CD GMM's$  (clone each CI GMM).

#### Recap

- For models with millions of parameters ...
  - Flat start and FB just doesn't cut it.
- Local maxima due to hidden variables.
  - *i.e.*, space of possible alignments.
- If have good alignment ...
  - Can initialize parameters so near good maximum.
- Key idea: use simple models to bootstrap ....
  - Incrementally more complex models.
- More gory details to follow.

#### Where Are We?

1

Acoustic Modeling for LVCSR

#### 2 The Local Maxima Problem

Recipes for LVCSR Training

#### Discussion

#### **Overview of Training Process**

- Start: CI, GMM's contain single component.
- End: CD, GMM's contain 128 components, say.
- How to get here from there?
  - More than one way.
- Let's go through one recipe, start to finish.

41/120

# Step 0: Prerequisites

- Data.
  - Utterances with transcripts.
  - Pronunciation/baseform dictionary.
  - Questions to ask in phonetic decision tree.
- Decisions.
  - For each phoneme, HMM topology/size.
  - Number of components in GMM's.
- Period.

# The Pronunciation Dictionary

- Need pronunciation of *every* word in training data.
  - Without pronunciation, can't build HMM for word.
- Words may have multiple pronunciations.
  - THE(01)
     DH
     AH

     THE(02)
     DH
     IY
- Where to get baseforms for new words?
  - Ask a linguist? (We fired them.)
  - Where else?

# Step 1: CI, 1 component/GMM

- Flat start.
  - Transition probabilities, mixture weights uniform.
  - Gaussian means 0, variances 1.
- Run FB to convergence (Lab 2).
- Before: alignments are garbage.
- After: alignments are reasonable (but flawed).

## Step 2: CI, 32 components/GMM

- Split Gaussians  $\Rightarrow$  2 components/GMM.
  - Run bunch of iterations of FB.
- Split Gaussians  $\Rightarrow$  4 components/GMM.
  - Run bunch of iterations of FB.
- Split Gaussians  $\Rightarrow$  8 components/GMM.
  - Run bunch of iterations of FB.
- Split Gaussians  $\Rightarrow$  16 components/GMM.
  - Run bunch of iterations of FB.

45/120

# Example: Gaussian Splitting

• Train single Gaussian via Forward-Backward.



# Example: Gaussian Splitting

• Split each Gaussian in two ( $\pm$ 0.2 ×  $\vec{\sigma}$ )



# Example: Gaussian Splitting

• Run FB for a few iterations.



# Example: Gaussian Splitting

• Split each Gaussian in two  $(\pm 0.2 \times \vec{\sigma})$ 



50/120

# Example: Gaussian Splitting

• Run FB for a few iterations.



# There is also k-Means

• Use centers as means of Gaussians; train.



#### The Final Mixtures, Splitting vs. k-Means



#### Step 3: Select Pronunciation Variants

- Reference transcript doesn't tell you everything.
- Missing silence, filled pauses (*e.g.*, *UH*).
- Doesn't tell you which pronunciation ...
  - For words with multiple pronunciations.
  - e.g., whether THE pronounced 'DH AH' or 'DH IY'.
  - THE(01)
     DH
     AH

     THE(02)
     DH
     IY

54/120

# Handling All Possible Alternatives

- In theory, optional silence, multiple pronunciations ....
  - No problem! Just build appropriate HMM.
  - Consider all possible paths over whole training process.



- In practice, painful.
  - Expensive computationally.
  - Building training HMM with CD models tricky.

# What To Do?

• Solution: nail down "exact" transcript.



- Once model sufficiently good, compute Viterbi path.
  - Identify pronunciations (and silences) along path.
- Fix "exact" transcript for remainder of training.
  - Or recompute periodically.

#### Step 3: Select Pronunciation Variants

- Run Viterbi algorithm on training set.
  - Compute "exact" transcript for each utterance.
- Run bunch of iterations of FB.

## Step 4: Building Phonetic Decision Trees

- Goal: build phonetic decision tree ...
  - For each state in each phone HMM ( $\sim$ 150 total).
  - *e.g.*, AA.1, AA.2, AA.3, AE.1, ...
- What do we need?
  - Data aligned to each phone HMM state.
  - List of candidate questions.

57/120

Training Data for Decision Trees

- Run Viterbi algorithm.
  - For each frame, identify which feature vector, ...
  - Which GMM/HMM state, and phonetic context.



- *e.g.*, feature vector  $\mathbf{x}_5$  used to train tree for TD.1.
  - (Triphone) context is -EY+T.
  - Data for tree is list of triples  $(\vec{x}, p_L, p_R)$ ; e.g.,  $(\mathbf{x}_5, \text{EY}, \text{T})$ .

# Building a (Triphone) Tree

- Input: list of triples  $(\vec{x}_i, p_{L,i}, p_{R,i})$ .
- At each node on frontier of tree:
  - Choose question of form ...
  - "Does phone in position j belong to set q?" ...
  - Optimizing  $\prod_i P(\vec{x}_i | \text{leaf}(p_{L,i}, p_{R,i})) \dots$
  - Where each leaf distribution is single Gaussian.
- Can efficiently build whole level of tree in single pass.
- See Lecture 6 slides and readings for gory details.

#### The List of Candidate Questions

- Created by linguist many decades ago.
  - Passed down from mother to daughter, father to son.
- Corresponds to phonetic concepts.
  - e.g., vowel? dipthong? fricative? nasal? etc.
- Each question represented as set of phones.
  - Does phoneme belong to set of not?

# **Example Questions**



# Example Output



#### Step 4: Building Phonetic Decision Trees

- Build phonetic decision tree for each phone state.
- Before: one (CI) GMM per phone state.
  - After: one (CD) GMM per leaf for each phone state.
  - Seed CD GMM's by cloning original CI GMM.
- Initially, same Viterbi alignment as CI model.
  - In computing likelihood, replace CI with CD GMM ....
  - But these are identical.
- Run bunch of iterations of FB.

#### Step 5: CD, 128 components/GMM

- Split Gaussians  $\Rightarrow$  32 components/GMM.
  - Run bunch of iterations of FB.
- Split Gaussians  $\Rightarrow$  64 components/GMM.
  - Run bunch of iterations of FB.
- Split Gaussians  $\Rightarrow$  128 components/GMM.
  - Run bunch of iterations of FB.

#### Recap

- Step 0: Collect data.
  - Make baseforms for all words in reference transcripts.
- Step 1: Build CI, 1 component/GMM model from flat start.
- Step 2: Build CI, many component GMM model.
  - Repeated Gaussian splitting.
- Step 3: Find "exact" transcripts, pronunciation variants.
  - Viterbi algorithm.
- Step 4: Build phonetic decision tree.
  - From alignment created by CI model.
- Step 5: Build CD, many component GMM model.
  - Repeated Gaussian splitting.

65/120

#### Discussion

- One of many possible recipes.
- Training is complicated, multi-step process.
- Motifs.
  - Seed complex model using simpler model.
  - Run lots of Forward-Backward.

#### Where Are We?

- Acoustic Modeling for LVCSR
- 2 The Local Maxima Problem
- 3 Recipes for LVCSR Training

#### Discussion

# LVCSR Training Doesn't Require Much

- Data.
  - Utterances with transcripts.
  - Pronunciation/baseform dictionary.
  - Questions to ask in phonetic decision tree.
- Algorithms.
  - Viterbi; Forward-Backward.
  - Decision-tree building.
  - Almost same as in small vocabulary.

# Training Is an Art

- Hidden model training fraught with local maxima.
- Seed more complex models with simpler models.
  - Incrementally improve alignments; avoid bad maxima.
- Recipes developed over decades.
  - Discovered via sweat and tears.
- No one believes these find global maxima.
  - How well recipe works depends on data?

69/120

# Speeding Up Training

- Requires many, many iterations of Forward-Backward.
- Full Forward-Backward training.
  - Compute posterior of each alignment.
  - Collect counts over all possible alignments.
- Viterbi-style training.
  - Pick single alignment, e.g., using Viterbi.
  - Collect counts over single alignment.
- $\bullet~$  Both valid  $\Rightarrow$  guaranteed to increase (Viterbi) likelihood.

# When To Use One or the Other?

- Use Viterbi-style when  $can \Rightarrow cheaper$ .
  - Optimization: need not realign every iteration.
- Intuitively, full FB may find better maxima ...
  - But if posteriors very sharp, do almost same thing.
  - Remember example posteriors in Lab 2?
- Rule of thumb:
  - When first training "new" model, use full FB.
  - Once "locked in" to local maximum, Viterbi is fine.

#### Bootstrapping One Model From Another

- Bootstrap complex model from simpler model ...
  - Using alignment computed from simpler model.
- Point: models need not be of same form!
  - Can use WSJ model to bootstrap Switchboard model.
  - Can use triphone model to bootstrap quinphone model.
  - Can use GMM/HMM model to bootstrap DBN model.
- Requirement: same phonemes, states per phoneme.

#### Whew, That Was Pretty Complicated!

- The tip of the iceberg.
- Adaptation (VTLN, fMLLR, mMLLR).
- Discriminative training (LDA, MMI, MPE, fMPE).
- Model combination (cross adaptation, ROVER).

73/120

#### Things Can Get Pretty Hairy



# How Long Does Training Take?

- It's a secret.
- Measure in terms of *real-time factor*.
  - How many hours to process one hour of speech?
- If 1,000 hours of speech, 10x real time ....
  - How many days to train on one machine?
- Parallelization is key.
  - Data parallelization: collect FB counts on  $\frac{1}{k}$ th corpus.
  - Sum FB counts before parameter reestimation.

#### Recap

- In theory, training involves simple algorithms.
- In practice, training is insanely complicated ...
  - For state-of-the-art systems.

#### Administrivia

- Clear (6); mostly clear (4).
- Pace: OK (5), slow (2).
- Muddiest: dcs trees and Gaussians (2); dcs trees and HMM's (2); criterion for constructing dcs trees (1).
- Feedback (2+ votes):
  - More info on reading project (2).
  - http://www.ee.columbia.edu/~stanchen/ fall12/e6870/readings/project\_f12.html (same password as readings).
  - Don't need to worry about this yet.

78/120

Part II

#### Segue: Intro to LVCSR Decoding

# Administrivia

- Lab 2, Lab 3.
  - Not graded yet; handed back next lecture.
  - Answers:
    - /user1/faculty/stanchen/e6870/lab2\_ans/.
- Lab 4.
  - Postponed because material not covered yet.
  - Will announce when lab posted + new due date.
- Make-up lecture.
  - What days can you make it (same time)?
- Working on setups for non-reading projects.

#### Decoding for LVCSR

- Now know how to build models for LVCSR:
  - *n*-gram LM's  $P(\omega)$  via counting and smoothing.
  - CD acoustic models  $P_{\omega}(\mathbf{x})$  via complex recipes.
- This part: given test audio x, how to compute ...
  - Most likely word sequence  $\omega^*$ .

$$\omega^* = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\omega} P(\omega | \mathbf{x}) = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\omega} P(\omega) P_{\omega}(\mathbf{x})$$

- Initially, let's ignore efficiency.
  - How to do this conceptually?

#### **Decoding: Small Vocabulary**

• Take (H)MM representing allowable word sequences/LM.



• Replace each word with corresponding HMM.





• Run Viterbi algorithm!

81/120

Can We Do Same Thing for LVCSR?

- Can we express LM as (H)MM?
- Provide the expand word HMM to full HMM?
- Graph not too big? Not too slow to decode?

#### Issue 1: Is *n*-Gram Model an (H)MM?

- Yup; *n*-gram model is Markov model of order n 1.
- Example: trigram model  $P(w_i|w_{i-2}w_{i-1})$ .
- One state for each history  $w_{i-2}w_{i-1}$ .
  - Arrive here iff last two words are  $w_{i-2}$ ,  $w_{i-1}$ .
- Each state  $w_{i-2}w_{i-1}$  has outgoing arc for every  $w_i \ldots$ 
  - To state  $w_{i-1}w_i$  with probability  $P(w_i|w_{i-2}w_{i-1})$ .
- For each word sequence  $w_1, \ldots, w_L \ldots$ 
  - Single path through HMM with total probability

$$P(w_1,\ldots,w_L)=\prod_i P(w_i|w_{i-2}w_{i-1})$$

## Trigram LM, Morse Code, Basic Structure

## Trigram LM, Morse Code, With Probabilities





85/120

# Pop Quiz

- How many states in HMM representing trigram model ...
  - With vocabulary size |V|?
- How many arcs?

# Issue 2: Graph Expansion

• Training: only single word sequence, e.g., EIGHT TWO.



#### **Context-Dependent Graph Expansion**

- Decoding: many possible word sequences.
- CD expansion: handling branch points is tricky.
- Other issues: single-phoneme words; quinphone models.



89/120

#### Issue: How Slow Decoding?

- In each frame, loop through every state in graph.
- If 100 frames/sec, 10<sup>15</sup> states ...
  - How many cells to compute per second?
- $\bullet\,$  PC's can do  $\sim 10^{10}$  floating-point ops per second.

# Issue: How Big The Graph?

• Trigram model (e.g., vocabulary size |V| = 2)



- $|V|^3$  word arcs in FSA representation.
- Say words are  $\sim$ 4 phones = 12 states on average.
- If |V| = 50000,  $50000^3 \times 12 \approx 10^{15}$  states in graph.
- PC's have  $\sim 10^{10}$  bytes of memory.

#### Recap: Small vs. Large Vocabulary Decoding

- In theory, can use same exact techniques.
- In practice, three big problems:
  - Context-dependent graph expansion is complicated.
  - Decoding graphs way too big.
  - Decoding way too slow.
- How can we handle this?
- Next week:
  - Finite-state machines.
  - How to make decoding efficient.

## **Finite-State Machines**

## A View of Graph Expansion

- Step 1: Take word graph as input.
  - Convert into phone graph.
- Step 2: Take phone graph as input.
  - Convert into context-dependent phone graph.
- Step 3: Take context-dependent phone graph.
  - Convert into final HMM.
- Goal: want framework for ...
  - Representing graphs.
  - 2 Transforming graphs.

93/120

# A View of Graph Expansion





# A Framework for Rewriting Graphs

- How to represent graphs?
  - HMM's  $\Rightarrow$  finite-state acceptors (FSA's)!
- How to represent graph transformations?
  - Finite-state transducers (FST's)!
- What operation applies transformations to graphs?
  - Composition!

## Where Are We?

#### The Basics

2 Composition

#### What is a Finite-State Acceptor?

- It's like an HMM, but without probabilities.
- It has states.
  - Exactly one initial state; one or more final states.
- It has arcs.
  - Each arc has a label, which may be empty ( $\epsilon$ ).



97/120

# What Does an FSA *Mean*?

- The (possibly infinite) list of strings it accepts.
  - *i.e.*, strings that label path from initial to final state.
- Meaning: a, ab, ac.



• Meaning: b, bb, bbb, bbbb, ...



# Pop Quiz

- Are these equivalent?
  - *i.e.*, do they have same meaning?





- Things that *don't* affect meaning.
  - How labels are distributed along path.
  - Invalid paths.

#### What is a Finite-State Transducer?

- It's like a finite-state acceptor, except ...
- Each arc has two labels instead of one.
  - An input label (possibly empty).
  - An output label (possibly empty).



#### What Does an FST Mean?

- A (possibly infinite) list of pairs of strings ...
  - An input string and an output string.
- Meaning: (*a*, *A*), (*ab*, *AB*), (*ac*, *AC*).



• Meaning: (*ϵ*, *ϵ*), (*b*, *a*), (*bb*, *aa*), (*bbb*, *aaa*), . . .



102/120

# What is Composition?

- Applying FST T to FSA A to create new FSA  $A \circ T$ .
  - If  $\alpha \in A$  and  $(\alpha, \beta) \in T$ , then  $\beta \in A \circ T$ .
- A has meaning: *a*, *ab*, *ac*.



• *T* has meaning: (*a*, *A*), (*ab*, *AB*), (*ac*, *AC*).



•  $A \circ T$  has meaning: A, AB, AC.



#### Recap

- Finite-state acceptor (FSA): one label on each arc.
- Finite-state transducer (FST): two labels on each arc.
- Finite-state machine (FSM): FSA or FST.
  - Also, finite-state automaton.
- FST's can be used to transform FSA's via composition.
- The point: can express each stage in graph expansion ...
  - As applying FST via composition.

## Where Are We?

#### The Basics

2 Composition

#### The Composition Operation

- A simple and efficient algorithm for computing ...
  - Result of applying transducer to acceptor.
- What can composition do?

105/120

106/120

# Rewriting Single String A Single Way

$$A$$
  $1 \xrightarrow{a} 2 \xrightarrow{b} 3 \xrightarrow{d} 4$ 

$$T$$
 (1) a:A (2) b:B (3) d:D (4)

$$A \circ T$$
  $(1)$   $(1$ 

# Rewriting Single String A Single Way

$$A$$
  $1 \xrightarrow{a} 2 \xrightarrow{b} 3 \xrightarrow{d} 4$ 



#### Transforming a Single String

- Let's say have string, *e.g.*, THE DOG
- Let's say want to apply one-to-one transformation.
  - e.g., map words to their (single) baseforms.

#### DH AH D AO G

• This is easy, e.g., use sed or perl or ...

#### The Magic of FST's and Composition

- Let's say have (possibly infinite) list of strings ...
  - Expressed as an FSA, as this is compact.
- How to transform all strings in FSA in one go?
- How to do one-to-many or one-to-zero transformations?
- Can we express (possibly infinite) list of output strings ...
  As (compact) FSA?
- Fast?

110/120

# Rewriting Many Strings At Once



# Rewriting Single String Many Ways



#### **Rewriting Some Strings Zero Ways**



#### Computing Composition: The Basic Idea

- For every state  $s \in A$ ,  $t \in T$ , create state  $(s, t) \in A \circ T \dots$ 
  - Corresponding to being in states *s* and *t* at same time.
- Make arcs in intuitive way.

114/120

## Example



# Computing Composition: More Formally

- For now, pretend no  $\epsilon$ -labels.
- For every state  $s \in A$ ,  $t \in T$ , create state  $(s, t) \in A \circ T$ .
- Create arc from  $(s_1, t_1)$  to  $(s_2, t_2)$  with label *o* iff ...
  - There is arc from  $s_1$  to  $s_2$  in A with label *i* and ...
  - There is arc from  $t_1$  to  $t_2$  in T with label i : o.
- (s, t) is initial iff s and t are initial; similarly for final states.
- (Remove arcs and states that are "unreachable".)
- What is time complexity?

• Optimization: start from initial state, build outward.

## Another Example



#### Composition and $\epsilon$ -Transitions

- Basic idea: can take  $\epsilon$ -transition in one FSM ....
  - Without moving in other FSM.
- Tricky to do exactly right.
  - Do readings if you care: (Pereira, Riley, 1997)



117/120

#### Recap

- FST's can express wide range of string transformations.
- Composition lets us efficiently ...
  - Apply FST to all strings in FSA in one go!

#### **FSM** Toolkits

- AT&T FSM toolkit ⇒ OpenFST; lots of others.
  - Implements composition, lots of finite-state operations.
- A syntax for specifying FSA's and FST's, e.g.,

1	2	С
2	3	A
3	4	В
4		

