

ELEN6887

Homework 3

Due February 26th, 2010

In lecture 4 we consider the estimation of a smooth function using a *deterministic design* (i.e. the sample locations x_i were deterministic). In this homework we will put together some of the ideas of lectures 4 and 5 to extend to results to a random design setting.

Let $\mathcal{X} = [0, 1]$ and $\mathcal{Y} = [-r, r]$, where $r > 0$ is known. Let P_{XY} be a joint probability distribution over $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$. Suppose you have n i.i.d. samples for P_{XY} , $D_n = \{X_i, Y_i\}_{i=1}^n$. We will use D_n to construct a consistent prediction rule \hat{f}_n such that the expected excess risk with respect to the quadratic loss has a fast decay rate (with n). That is

$$E[R(\hat{f}_n)] - R^* = E \left[(\hat{f}_n(X) - Y)^2 \right] - R^* \rightarrow 0 ,$$

at a fast pace as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $f^*(x) = E[Y|X=x]$ be the regression function (the “best” prediction rule possible). Recall that $R(f^*) = R^*$ and

$$E \left[(\hat{f}_n(X) - Y)^2 \right] - R^* = E \left[(\hat{f}_n(X) - f^*(X))^2 \right] .$$

Assume f^* is a Lipschitz function with Lipschitz constant $L > 0$ (i.e. $|f^*(x) - f^*(y)| \leq L|x - y| \forall x, y \in [0, 1]$). Finally define the estimator

$$\hat{f}_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m \hat{c}_j \mathbf{1}\{x \in I_j\} ,$$

where $I_j = [\frac{j-1}{m}, \frac{j}{m})$, and

$$\hat{c}_j = \begin{cases} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \mathbf{1}\{X_i \in I_j\}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{X_i \in I_j\}} & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{X_i \in I_j\} > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

We will proceed by carefully decomposing the excess risk $E[(\hat{f}_n(X) - f^*(X))^2]$ into an estimation and approximation error (and also a cross-term). Let \bar{f} be an arbitrary prediction rule. It is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[(\hat{f}_n(X) - f^*(X))^2 \right] &\leq E \left[(\hat{f}_n(X) - \bar{f}(X))^2 \right] + E \left[(\bar{f}(X) - f^*(X))^2 \right] \\ &\quad + 2\sqrt{E \left[(\hat{f}_n(X) - \bar{f}(X))^2 \right] E \left[(\bar{f}(X) - f^*(X))^2 \right]} , \end{aligned}$$

where this result follows from the application of Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality. The "best" approximating function \bar{f} we will use in this case is simply

$$\bar{f}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m \bar{c}_j \mathbf{1}\{x \in I_j\},$$

where

$$\bar{c}_j = \begin{cases} \frac{\int_{I_j} f^*(x) dP_X(x)}{\int_{I_j} dP_X(x)} & \text{if } \int_{I_j} dP_X(x) > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

- a) Give an upper bound on the approximation error $E[(\bar{f}(X) - f^*(X))^2]$. (**Hint:** this is almost analogous to what we did in lecture 4).
- b) Give an upper bound on the estimation error $E[(\hat{f}_n(X) - \bar{f}(X))^2]$. For this you will need to use a similar approach as used in lecture 5, by conditioning on the number of sample points that fall inside a bin. Start by examining $E[(\hat{f}_n(x) - \bar{f}(x))^2]$ for an arbitrary $x \in [0, 1]$, and then proceed with the bound on $E[(\hat{f}_n(X) - \bar{f}(X))^2]$. (**Hint:** you will find the following fact quite useful - for a Binomial random variable $N \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$ we have $E\left[\frac{1}{N+1}\right] \leq \frac{1}{(n+1)p}$. This implies that $E\left[\frac{1}{N}\mathbf{1}\{N > 0\}\right] \leq \frac{2}{(n+1)p}$).
- c) Given your answers to the previous questions what is the proper choice of m as a function of n ? What is a bound on the rate of excess risk decay of the procedure provided m is chosen appropriately? How does this compare with the results of lecture 4?

Possible extensions: You can get essentially the same results without assuming \mathcal{Y} is bounded, and instead assuming $E[(Y - f^*(x))^2 | X = x] \leq \sigma^2 < \infty$. This allows us to consider unbounded observation noise (for example Gaussian noise).