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Goal:
Survey techniques, provide discussion framework
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Sound & Hearing

- Sound = 1-D time-variation of air pressure, $P(t)$
- ... decomposed by cochlea into multiple frequency bands
  $\rightarrow$ 2-D representation, $I(t,f)$

- Basic sensitivity imposed by cochlea for time, frequency, level, dynamic range
- Higher auditory system extracts ‘useful info’:
  $\rightarrow$ reflects *ecological* constraints
Audio processing

- Dataflow diagrams useful for sound signal processing:
  
  ![Dataflow Diagram]

- Typically several distinct data ‘types’:
  - audio signals $a(t)$
  - parameter (‘control’) signals $K(T)$
  - event sequences $\{ \tau_{Ei} \}$
Audio representation

- **Sampled waveforms are ubiquitous**
  - represent the 1-D pressure waveform as a sequence of values at regular intervals

- **Tradeoff between quality and size via:**
  - sampling rate ($\rightarrow$ bandwidth, high frequency)
  - quantization ($\rightarrow$ noise floor)
  \[\text{samples/sec} \times \text{bits/sample} = \text{data rate, size}\]
Compressed audio representations

- **Save bits on quantization**
  - variable quantization (mu-law, ADPCM)
  - noise shaping & ‘perceptual coding’

- **Parametric models use stronger constraints**
  - approximate signal as output of a process
  - how to extract/find best parameters?
  - size vs. quality vs. complexity

- **Event decomposition**
  - encode high-level temporal structure
  - e.g. MIDI, MPEG-4
  - implies a **synthesis method**...
3 Synthesis

Creating an audio signal from control inputs

• **Issues:**
  - fidelity / richness
  - flexibility / control ‘knobs’
  - cost in complexity (CPU) & data size (store)

• **Mimic real signal, or just make a new one?**
  - abstract level of correspondence

• **Techniques:**
  signal models:
  - sampling
  - sinusoid (plus...) models
  - nonlinear algorithms e.g. FM
  source models:
  - source-filter & LPC
  - waveguide & other physical models
**Synthesis 2: Signal models**

- **Sampled waveforms**
  
  fidelity: excellent (but.. unnatural repetition?)
  
  controls: very few (level & resampling rate)
  
  cost: simple CPU / lots of store
  
  - enhancements to sampling:
    
    + looped sections for simple ‘sustain’
    
    + mix 2 or 3 samples for timbre ‘space’

- **Sinusoid models**
  
  - exploit harmonic structure of pitched sounds
  
  fidelity: good to excellent
  
  controls: pitch and timescale well separated
  
  cost: moderate CPU / large store
  
  - parameter extraction is straightforward
  
  - additional ‘noise’ residual for non-pitched parts

- **Nonlinear models (e.g. FM)**
  
  fidelity: pleasant sounds but limited scope
  
  controls: good range but unpredictable
  
  cost: moderate CPU / little store
Synthesis 3: Source models

- **Source-filter models (e.g. LPC)**
  - excitation modified by resonances
    - fidelity: moderate-good for appropriate signals
    - controls: excitation and resonance separate
    - cost: CPU moderate / storage moderate
    - good extraction algorithms available
    - works best for speech

- **Physical models (e.g. waveguide)**
  - common structure for musical instruments:
    - fidelity: often startling when it works
    - controls: reflect physical source, excellent
    - cost: CPU moderate / parameter store low
    - each model is limited / hard to extend
Modifying an audio signal

- **Online:**
  - linear/nonlin. filtering (presence, companding)
  - echo / chorus / flanging
  - reverberation
  - spatial location (azimuth/elevation/range)

- **Event-based**
  - pitch/duration modification (resampling, SOLA, looping, reverse)
  - cross-synthesis (LPC/ Fourier domain)

- **Control inputs from:**
  - explicit interface (sliders, curves)
  - **analysis** extraction from audio streams...
Derive control parameters from audio signal

- **Auditory function is hard to model**
  - speech recognition
  - auditory scene analysis

- **.. but a simplistic analysis has uses**
  - pre-linguistic understanding e.g. dogs

- **Audio signal → parameter signal**
  - energy (full band/sub bands/ratios)
  - periodicity/pitch tracking
  - azimuth/triangulation?

- **Audio signal → event sequence**
  - the “clapper”
Summary

- **Hearing determines the importance of sound**
  - detectibility
  - relevant aspects

- **Sampled waveforms = core of digital audio**

- **Synthesis algorithms .. tradeoff:**
  - fidelity
  - control flexibility
  - computational cos
  - breadth/range of applicability
  - parameter extraction mechanisms

- **Modifications can be controlled explicitly or by derived parameters**
  - e.g. ‘dog hearing’
Spatial location

- **Primary spatial cue is azimuth (from 2 ears)**
  - L-R intensity difference (head shadow) ~ 1 dB
  - L-R envelope delay (path length) ~ 0.1 ms

- **Secondary cues for elevation and range**
  - elevation from L-R spectrum & its changes
  - range from level, coloration, direct-to-reverb

- **Synthesizing spatial location**
  - simple pan + delay (freq. dep?) for azimuth
  - sampled HRTFs can incorporate elevation,...
    .. depend on individual

- **Delivering spatialized signals**
  - headphones
  - speakers, transaural
  - but: listener location?
    dynamic cues?
Speech recognition

- **Major issues:**
  - isolated word or continuous
  - speaker independent, adaptive or individual
  - vocabulary size, (grammar complexity)
  - signal quality / robustness

- **State of the art**
  - moderate perplexity, speaker-independent interactive telephone systems (stock quotes)
  - transcription of TV broadcasts, conversations at 30-40% word error
  - searching alternate Markov model hypotheses is large & slow: ~ real-time on fast CPU

- **Alternatives**
  - fixed small-vocabulary module
  - ‘cheap & cheerful’ trainable templates