Introduction to the Special Issue on Music Information Retrieval

Music listening stands at an unprecedented point in history. Never before have so many listeners had access to such large collections of music. Large online music providers offer consumers millions of songs from a catalog of material extending over many decades and genres. No other signal processing technologies touch us as often or as personally as those related to music listening, whether in the guise of MP3 files, or recommendation systems, or new ways to explore music or enhance music playback.

However, this rich experience comes with a drawback. How do you choose what to listen to when you have instant access to millions of different songs? How can music librarians fulfill their roles given the explosion of content? And what can we do to keep track of new music, when technology makes it possible for almost anyone to produce professional-quality music, and many more types of musical activity have become viable thanks to markets enabled by the Internet?

The papers in this special issue were selected to represent the range of current problems in music information retrieval (MIR). These papers describe the state of the art in music signal analysis, from research that explores how to pull apart a musical signal into its constituents, to research aimed at finding specific kinds of matching items in large databases, to research into broader notions of musical similarity and retrieval including emotions and other semantic attributes. This is truly a wide range of research with substantial overlap between its various subdisciplines, but for the purpose of organization we have grouped the papers in this special issue into these three broad categories of signal analysis, retrieval, and classification; we discuss each type of work in turn.

Signal Analysis: At the lowest level, music audio presents a wide range of challenges in building machine systems that can recover the kind of music information (notes, instruments, phrases, lyrics, etc.) that form a listener’s understanding of the music. Eight of the papers in this collection address these issues: Three papers look at analyzing music audio into the distinct note sequences arising from the different instruments, specifically considering the recovery of the respective pitches (Klapuri), the problems of organizing pitches according to instrument (Every), or the identification of a single, main melody (Lagrange et al.). A fourth paper by Lee and Slaney sidesteps the difficulties of identifying individual notes and instead analyzes the audio into a sequence of chords, i.e., the musical units built from simultaneous notes. Finally, a fifth paper by Doets and Lagendijk look for clues to the extent of compression in a musical signal based on audio fingerprinting.

At a broader level, three papers consider the segmentation of signals into the phrases or segments from which the often repetitive structure of music is built. Levy and Sandler describe a scheme for clustering similar segments, whereas Dubnov looks specifically at the notion of anticipation and its interaction with musical repetition. Kan et al. take a different approach by using the known lyrics of a musical piece including singing, then aligning the text to the audio based on various effective musical constraints.

Retrieval: As we have explained, the core problem in MIR is finding any particular item in the enormous archives that now exist, which can contain millions of items, each hundreds of seconds long. Here, six papers provide solutions for different aspects and formulations of this problem. One recurrent paradigm is popularly known as query-by-humming (QBH). Jang and Lee present a high-performance QBH system as an example of a particular approach to optimizing search time in such huge tasks. Unal et al. present a particular technique for the matching of queries that are likely to contain errors or ambiguities, and show its effectiveness in improving results for queries sung by users without musical training. Suyoto et al. present another technique for matching inexact queries that addresses the efficiency of looking for matching subranges.

In a more general task of matching music or other complex audio excerpts to one another, the challenge again is to relax the match in a way that manages to capture what a user considers similar, and at the same time preserve the efficiency necessary to search enormous collections. Kurth and Müller present methods for quantizing time segments according to their harmonic content, and for efficiently indexing such a representation to permit the retrieval of repeating phrases and alternative performances. Kimura et al. present a technique for efficiently finding approximate matches that is also applicable to signals other than music audio. Pampalk et al. look specifically at the significant subtask of drum sound retrieval (e.g., for drum loop collections) and develop a method for quantifying the similarity between members of this diverse family of sounds that resembles subjective similarity judgments.

Classification and Recommendation: At a higher level, listeners can describe entire pieces of music with a small number of terms that reflect internal categorizations based on similarity of musical style, or emotion, or other more obscure points of contact. Much of the work in MIR is oriented towards giving machines the ability to predict or understand these categories, with a view to automatically helping users choose what to listen to. Holzapfel and Stylianou look specifically at classifying music by genre, and propose a novel feature extraction approach with significant benefits. Yoshii et al. describe a complete music recommendation system that neatly integrates both similarity information derived from the collections of other listeners—widely known to be a very reliable basis—with purely audio-based measures in the case where the music occurs in too few collections (perhaps because it is a new release).
Three papers look at connecting the statistics of features derived from audio with much more abstract, semantic attributes. Yang et al. make a careful regression between specific audio features and a database of music for which emotional labeling has been collected. Mion and De Poli look at describing broader aspects of musical expression. Finally, Turnbull et al. present techniques for building classifiers that associate audio with a wide range of words, based on specific labeling performed by human informants.

A special issue such as this one requires an extraordinary effort from the community. Planning for this special issue started in January 2006. The papers in this special issue were drawn from a pool of 40 submissions. More than 100 reviewers evaluated and contributed to the quality of these papers. We appreciate their efforts. Finally, both Mari Ostendorf and Kathy Jackson were immensely helpful in helping us understand the editorial process, getting these papers through the system, and assembling the final issue. Thank you to all.

We hope you learn from and enjoy each of these contributions.
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